



Western Australia

Legal Profession Act 2008

**Legal Profession (Supreme Court and District
Court) (Criminal) Determination 2016**

Legal Profession (Supreme Court and District Court) (Criminal) Determination 2016

Contents

Part 1 — Preliminary		
1.	Citation	1
Part 2 — Notice and Inquiries		
2.	Notice under section 278 of the Act	2
3.	Inquiries and submissions under section 277 of the Act	2
Part 3 — Report of Legal Costs Committee's Conclusions		
4.	Maximum hourly and daily rates changed — scale of costs amended	3
Schedule		
1.	Citation	5
2.	Commencement	5
3.	Application	5
4.	No minimum charge	5
5.	Introduction of new Restricted Practitioner category	5
6.	Maximum hourly and daily rates	6
7.	Disbursements	8
8.	Travel	9
9.	Costs	10

Contents

Notes	
Compilation table	11

Western Australia

Legal Profession Act 2008

Legal Profession (Supreme Court and District Court) (Criminal) Determination 2016

Part 1 — Preliminary

1. Citation

- (a) This Report may be cited as the *Legal Profession (Supreme Court and District Court) (Criminal) Report 2016*.
- (b) The Determination set out in the Schedule to this Report is referred to in this Report as the *Legal Profession (Supreme Court) (Criminal) Determination 2016*.

Part 2 — Notice and Inquiries

2. Notice under section 278 of the Act

The Legal Costs Committee has complied with the notice provisions of section 278 of the Act.

3. Inquiries and submissions under section 277 of the Act

Before making the *Legal Profession (Supreme Court) (Contentious Business) Determination 2016*, the Legal Costs Committee —

- (a) reviewed all submissions received as a result of the notice given under section 278 of the Act including those made by The Law Society of Western Australia (Inc) and The Western Australian Bar Association (Inc);
- (b) considered the impact of changes in relevant Australian Bureau of Statistics data for the period; and
- (c) consulted with a range of people and organisations within the legal profession in respect to the impact of structural changes in the profession.

Part 3 — Report of Legal Costs Committee's Conclusions

4. Maximum hourly and daily rates changed — scale of costs amended

- (a) The information gained as a result of the inquiries and submissions described in clause 3 satisfied the Legal Costs Committee that the manner in which legal services are provided made it appropriate to continue to adopt the hourly and daily rates charged by law practices as the basis for the rates used in the *Legal Profession (Supreme Court and District Court) (Criminal) Determination 2016*.
- (b) It is the recommendation of the Legal Costs Committee that —
- (1) as a result of the inquiries and submissions described in clause 3;
 - (2) having considered the impact of relevant Australian Bureau of Statistics data;
 - (3) taking into account the incidental administrative implications of the calculation of the Goods and Services Tax; and
 - (4) to be consistent with the hourly and daily rates referred to in the *Legal Profession (Supreme Court) (Contentious Business) Determination 2016* to be gazetted at or about the same time as this Report,

the hourly and daily rates referred to in sub clause 4(a) be varied from the hourly and daily rates used in the *Legal Practitioners (Supreme Court and District Court) (Criminal) Determination 2014* as the basis for the recommended scale of costs which have been generally rounded up or down to represent increases of approximately 2%, inclusive of the Goods and Services Tax, and for administrative convenience, are divisible by 11. Those rates are set out in Table A of the *Legal Profession (Supreme Court and District Court) (Criminal) Determination 2016*.

r. 4

- (c) It is the recommendation of the Legal Costs Committee, as a result of the consultations described in clause 3, that the new category of Restricted Practitioner should be introduced in the *Legal Profession (Supreme Court and District Court) (Criminal) Determination 2016*.
- (d) The recommendations of the Legal Costs Committee are not intended to override any entitlement of a law practice to make a written agreement as to costs with a client under the Act or any successor legislation.

Schedule

Legal Profession Act 2008

Legal Profession (Supreme Court and District Court) (Criminal) Determination 2016

1. Citation

This Determination may be cited as the *Legal Profession (Supreme Court and District Court) (Criminal) Determination 2016*.

2. Commencement

This Determination comes into operation on 1 July 2016.

3. Application

This Determination applies to the remuneration of legal practitioners, clerks and paralegals in respect of advice given by legal practitioners in or for the purposes of criminal proceedings or potential criminal proceedings which are ultimately dealt with in the Supreme Court of Western Australia and the District Court of Western Australia.

4. No minimum charge

In no respect is this Determination to be seen as providing a minimum charge for any work.

5. Introduction of new Restricted Practitioner category

- (a) This determination introduces the new category Restricted Practitioner. This category includes all Australian legal practitioners engaging in restricted legal practice pursuant to section 50 of the Act, during the period when that person is undertaking the “required experience”, as defined in section 50 of the Act, and whilst an endorsement to that effect remains on their practising certificate.
- (b) A Restricted Practitioner is not intended to include an Australian legal practitioner who has a condition placed on their practising certificate by the Legal Practice Board, State Administrative Tribunal or otherwise, requiring them to practise under supervision for disciplinary, medical or other reasons.

cl. 6

- (c) The Legal Costs Committee consulted the profession regarding the necessity for amendments to the levels of practitioner set out in Table A, in light of the changing nature of legal practice in this State. In particular the Legal Costs Committee was conscious of the changes to admission requirements and pathways to admission, which have resulted in practitioners being admitted to practise without the close supervision of legal practice formerly afforded by articles of clerkship but with a longer period of restricted practice. The effect of this is that practitioners become senior practitioners with less experience than they previously have had, which has the effect of increasing the legal costs to consumers of their services.
- (d) It is the Legal Costs Committee's view, as expressed in this Determination, that the Determination should as far as possible reflect the regulated structure of the profession and thereby provide consumers of legal services with additional transparency as to the experience of the practitioner providing the legal services.
- (e) So as not to adversely affect clients of legal services provided by those practitioners who would, but for this change, have been Junior Practitioners or Senior Practitioners, transitional arrangements apply so that —
 - (1) practitioners admitted after 1 July 2014, but before 1 July 2016, are entitled to recover their legal costs as if they were Junior Practitioners until 30 June 2018, or the date on which they would, but for this clause, become Junior Practitioners under this Determination; and
 - (2) practitioners admitted after 1 July 2011, but before 1 July 2014, are entitled to recover their legal costs as if they were either Junior Practitioners or Senior Practitioners, whichever category would have applied but for this change, until 30 June 2018, or the date on which they would, but for this clause, become Senior Practitioners under this Determination.

6. Maximum hourly and daily rates

- (a) The hourly and daily rates set out in Table A are the maximum hourly and daily rates, inclusive of GST which the Legal Costs Committee determines shall be used to calculate the dollar amounts chargeable by a law practice in providing advice and services to clients in respect of

criminal proceedings and potential criminal proceedings which are ultimately dealt with in the Supreme Court of Western Australia and the District Court of Western Australia.

- (b) The daily rates set out in Table A are intended to cover all work done on a hearing or trial day, whether in or out of court, including preparation of written submissions and are not intended to be supplemented in any way by additional hourly charges given that the maximum number of hours allowed for the daily rate is 10 hours per day.

Table A

Fee Earner	Maximum allowable hourly and daily rates
Senior Practitioner (permitted to practise on his or her own account for 5 years or more) (SP) ^o	
hourly rate	\$484
Junior Practitioner (permitted to practise on his or her own account for less than 5 years) (JP) ^o	
hourly rate	\$341
Restricted Practitioner (RP) ^o , #	
hourly rate	\$297
Clerk/Paralegal (C/PL) ##	
hourly rate	\$231
Counsel fees charged as a disbursement to practitioners or charged by in-house Counsel:	
Counsel (C)*	
hourly rate	\$396
daily rate	\$3,960

cl. 7

Senior Counsel (SC)**

hourly rate \$682

daily rate \$6,820

- The reference to Restricted Practitioner, Junior Practitioner or Senior Practitioner in this Determination includes all legal practitioners even if the services were rendered in another State or Territory. Where a local practitioner has held an interstate practising certificate, the length of unrestricted legal practice in that other jurisdiction is to be counted in assessing that practitioner's years of practice for the purposes of this Determination.
- # The reference to Restricted Practitioner in this Determination includes practitioners undertaking restricted legal practice for the purposes of obtaining the required experience set out in section 50 of the Act and does not include a reference to an Australian legal practitioner who has a condition placed on their practising certificate by the Legal Practice Board, State Administrative Tribunal or otherwise, requiring them to practise under supervision for disciplinary, medical or other reasons.
- ## The reference to Clerk/Paralegal in this Determination includes a law graduate prior to their admission to practise as an Australian lawyer.
- * The reference to Counsel in this Determination means a practitioner acting as a barrister other than a Senior Counsel.
- ** The reference to Senior Counsel in this Determination means a person within the meaning of item 11 or item 12 of Regulation 5(2) of the *Legal Profession Regulations 2009* (WA).

7. Disbursements

In addition to the fees and charges allowed under this Determination as between a legal practitioner and client, a legal practitioner may charge and be allowed disbursements necessarily or reasonably incurred.

8. Travel

- (a) For the purposes of this Determination, minor travel means incidental travel associated with attendance at court for a hearing where that travel is of a minor duration. Examples of minor travel include, but are not limited to —
 - (1) a practitioner walking from their usual place of business to a court;
 - (2) a practitioner walking from a carpark to a court; or
 - (3) a practitioner walking or taking public transport from one centrally located court to another centrally located court.
- (b) As between a law practice and its own client, time spent travelling by a law practice, other than minor travel, is to be charged at no more than one half of the rates set out in Table A, with a maximum of 8 hours in any one day.
- (c) In making its decision in this Determination, the Committee has taken note of the Law Society of Western Australia's Standard Costs Agreement, which contains a provision that a law practice will charge travel at 50% of the agreed hourly rates.
- (d) The Legal Costs Committee has also considered an informal policy of the Legal Profession Complaints Committee that travel should not ordinarily be charged at a rate of more than 50% of a law practice's normal hourly charge-out rate.
- (e) Whilst the Legal Costs Committee recognises that during a travel period a law practice may not necessarily utilise legal skill and knowledge, there is a recognition of a loss of opportunity for the time spent travelling.
- (f) The Legal Costs Committee notes that, having regard to the above —
 - (1) it is the responsibility of a law practice to allocate the cost of time spent on travel fairly and reasonably where the travel is necessary to service more than one client on the day of travel; and
 - (2) whilst nothing contained in this Determination prevents a law practice from charging time spent on a client matter or client matters in the course of travel (air travel by way of example), the law practice is not entitled to charge a client or clients for

cl. 9

both time spent on a client matter (regardless of whether that time relates to the client for whom the travel is being undertaken) and the amount allowed for travel under this determination.

9. Costs

- (a) Unless a law practice has made a written agreement as to costs with a client under the provisions of section 282 of the Act, the costs of or in relation to a party to an action or other proceeding (inclusive of GST and Counsel fees but exclusive of other disbursements) in the relevant Court are payable by a party to that party's own law practice and must not exceed an amount calculated at the hourly rates in Table A.
- (b) In respect of any appeals to the Supreme Court from any decision of a lower Court or single Judge exercising the relevant Court's criminal jurisdiction, item 23 of the *Legal Profession (Supreme Court) (Contentious Business) Determination 2016* will apply to law practice/client costs on such appeals.

Notes

- ¹ This is a compilation of the *Legal Profession (Supreme Court and District Court) (Criminal) Determination 2016*. The following table contains information about that determination.

Compilation table

Citation	Gazettal	Commencement
<i>Legal Profession (Supreme Court and District Court) (Criminal) Determination 2016</i>	24 Jun 2016 p. 2493-6	1 Jul 2016 (see cl. 2)

- ² Published in Gazette 20 June 2014.